A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO COMMUNICATIONS DURING PROCUREMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The purpose of this act is to provide a method for clarification communications between a purchasing agency and an offeror, to increase effectiveness and efficiency in the state procurement process during competitive sealed proposals by increasing the potentially acceptable pool of submitted proposals eligible to progress into discussions.

SECTION 2. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted and to read as follows:

"Clarification communication" means a limited written exchange of clarifying information between a purchasing agency and offerors that may occur without entering into discussions."

SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended to read as follows:

"§103D-303 Competitive sealed proposals. (a) Competitive sealed proposals may be used to procure goods, services, or
construction that are either not practicable or not advantageous
to the State to procure by competitive sealed bidding.
(b) Proposals shall be solicited through a request for proposals.
(c) Notice of the request for proposals shall be given in the same manner as provided in section 103D-302(c).
(d) Proposals shall be opened so as to avoid disclosure of contents to competing offerors during the process of evaluation. A register of proposals shall be prepared and shall be open for public inspection after contract award.
(e) The request for proposals shall state the relative importance of price and other evaluation factors.
(f) Clarification communications may be conducted to clarify specific apparent mistakes in a proposal for responsibility or responsiveness determination, pursuant to rules adopted by the policy board.
(g) Discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors who submit proposals determined to be reasonably likely to be selected for a contract award for the purpose of clarification to assure full understanding of, and responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements. Offerors shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and
revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. In conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any information derived from proposals submitted by competing offerors.

[(g)] (h) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous, taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set forth in the request for proposals. No other factors or criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The contract file shall contain the basis on which the award is made.

[(h)] (i) In cases of awards made under this section, non-selected offerors may submit a written request for debriefing to the procurement officer within three working days after the posting of the award of the contract. Thereafter, the procurement officer shall provide the non-selected offeror a prompt debriefing. Any protest by the non-selected offeror pursuant to section 103D-701 following debriefing shall be filed in writing with the procurement officer within five working days after the date upon which the debriefing is completed.

[(i)] (j) In addition to any other provisions of this section, construction projects may be solicited through a
request for proposals to use the design-build method; provided that:

(1) A request for proposals is issued to prequalify offerors to select a short list of no more than three responsible offerors, prior to the submittal of proposals; provided that the number of offerors to be selected for the short list shall be stated in the request for proposals and prompt notice is given to all offerors as to which offerors have been short-listed;

(2) A conceptual design fee may be paid to non-selected offerors that submit a technically responsive proposal; provided that the cost of the entire project is greater than $1,000,000; and

(3) The criteria for pre-qualification of offerors, design requirements, development documents, proposal evaluation criteria, terms of the payment of a conceptual design fee, or any other pertinent information shall be stated in the request for proposals."

SECTION 4. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
SECTION 5. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
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TITLE: A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO COMMUNICATIONS DURING PROCUREMENT.

PURPOSE: To provide a method for clarification communications between a purchasing agency and an offeror, to increase effectiveness and efficiency in the state procurement process during competitive sealed proposals by increasing the potentially acceptable list of responsible offerors.

MEANS: Amend sections 103D-104 and 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

JUSTIFICATION: Provides a mechanism for limited exchanges of information through clarification communications during initial stages of source selection pursuant to section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, competitive sealed proposals. Currently there is no mechanism for a procurement officer to communicate with any offeror submitting a competitive sealed proposal until after a determination of responsibility and likelihood of proposal award is completed, and a pool of likely awardees is identified to proceed with “discussions.” This bill addresses the problem of offerors being left out of the pool of potential awardees because of situations such as an apparent clerical error or unclear relevancy of information provided by an offeror, which currently prevents the offeror from progressing into the pool of responsible offerors eligible to proceed with “discussions.”

Impact on the public: Creates an opportunity for clarification of apparent inadvertent mistakes made in procurement
proposals. Increases opportunities for offerors to be included into the potentially acceptable pool of submitted proposals eligible to progress into discussions.

Impact on the department and other agencies:
Increases communication ability to increase efficiency of procurement during initial responsibility or responsiveness determinations for competitive sealed proposal procurements.

GENERAL FUND: None.
OTHER FUNDS: None.
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OTHER AFFECTED AGENCIES: All agencies procuring goods and services pursuant to chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes.
EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval.