



Procurement Code of Ethics and Compliance with HRS Chapter 103D





How to Participate Today		
File View Help Aud Aud Full Screen Audio Auto-Hide the Control Panel Hide Control Panel Hide Control Barel Leyout Save this Startup Leyout Save this Startup Leyout Save this Startup Leyout Trows #72# now.	•	Open and close your Panel View, Select, and Test your audio Submit text questions Raise your hand
[Fnter a question for staff] Image: start Holding your Own Web Events with GoToWebinar District Start Holding your Own Web Events with GoToWebinar District Start Holding Yebmar District Start Hol	٠	Q&A addressed at the end of today's session
		cineyx" onl











All public employees shall conduct and participate in public procurement in an ethical manner. They shall:

- Act as a fiduciary and trustee of public moneys;
- Remain independent from any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, contractor or business;

- Act only in the public interest;
- Abide by the statutes and administrative rules relating to public procurement;





- Maintain confidentiality in a manner that ensures a fair procurement process;
- Remain impartial in dealings with any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, contractor, business or other interested parties; and

14

· Identify and eliminate any conflicts of interest.

Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, contractor, or business taking part in the conduct of public procurement, shall act in good faith to practice purchasing ethics, and when applicable, display business integrity as a responsible offeror through the public procurement process, including but not limited to the following:

- Avoiding the intent and appearance of unethical behavior or business practices;
- Refraining from any activity that would create the appearance of impropriety or conflicts of personal interest and the interests of the State or counties;

16

• Indentifying an eliminating any conflicts of interest;



All parties involved in the negotiation, performance, or administration of state contracts shall act in good faith.



- Artificial or intentional division of multiple procurements that, when combined, exceed the limits of HRS Section 103D-305, for any 12-month period.
- Considerations for a Procurement Officer (PO) to determine "artificial or intentional":
 - The higher the price of a group of procurements, the more likely they should be consolidated.
 - 2. The more similar the good, service, or construction, or the more likely it is to purchase a group of goods, services, or construction from one type of vendor, the more likely it should be consolidated.







- Review history of regular maintenance items or services to see if a formal method of procurement would need to be conducted, i.e. competitive sealed bidding, request for proposals.
- Execute "indefinite quantities" or "as needed" types of contracts in situations where exact number of supply items are unknown for 12-month period.
- Issue contracts for longer periods, i.e. initial 12month contract, with option to extend additional 12month periods.





Procurement Violations
The Head of the Purchasing Agency (HOPA) is responsible for agencies compliance with the law. Some violations are inadvertent, result of administrative error, lack of knowledge, or simple carelessness.
In reviewing a procurement violation report, it is important to pinpoint any weaknesses in the procurement system and to determine if the action was civil or criminal.
Agencies must report to and obtain the approval of the Chief Procurement Officer/Chief Financial Officer on form SPO-016.



When civil penalties may apply:

Situation where a person who contracts for or purchases, goods, services, or construction, in a manner the person knows to be contrary to the requirements of the procurement law.

What needs to be proven:

- Person knowingly violated the requirements of the law.
- Person committing the violation was aware that he or she is acting contrary to the requirements of the law at the time the violation occurs.

25

<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item>





Criminal Penalties

What needs to be proved:

- Evidence of the wrongdoing and satisfactory to law enforcement authorities for criminal prosecution to be undertaken.
- Deliberate actions under a scheme or trickery.
- Person understood the procurement statutes and acted in a contrary manner.
- If personal gain was intended or involved for either the person committing the violation, a friend or relative of the person, or vendor, or whether some other vendor was significantly injured, whether intended or not.



CPO Penalties

In addition to any civil or criminal penalty allowed by law, the CPO may impose any of the following when it has been determined that there has been a violation of any provision in the Hawaii Public Procurement Code by a **government employee**:

- Reimbursement for any sum paid in connection with the violation (interest included).
- Recommendation for termination of employment.
- Reduction or rescission of delegated procurement authority.
- Administrative fine.

In addition to any civil or criminal penalty allowed by law, the CPO may impose any of the following when it has been determined that there has been a violation of any provision in the Hawaii Public Procurement Code by a **person or actual or prospective offeror**:

- Payment for any sum paid in connection with the violation (including interest).
- Suspension and debarment action.
- Administrative fine.

Administrative Fines

The 2007 Legislature passed Act 142 (SB1929 SD2 HD2 CD1) which provides for the imposition of an administrative fine by the CPO.

- Prior to the imposition, the CPO shall notify the person in writing of the intent to assess a fine.
- If the violation involved was in excess of the amount specified in HRS section 103D-305 (small purchase), the fine shall not exceed \$5,000 for each violation.

<list-item><list-item>



 If a fine is to be paid, it shall be the responsibility of the person (not state or county funds if a government employee).

35

<section-header><text><text><text>



• Upon request, the SPO Administrator may extend the 30 day requirement.





- Whether this is a first occurrence.
- Whether appropriate written assurances and safeguards have been established to preclude a subsequent violation (meeting, attendance at mandatory training, procurement authority rescinded, posting of written procedures, etc.).

